

REMARKS

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections set forth in the Office Action dated November 25, 2005 are respectfully requested.

I. Double-Patenting Rejection

Claims 33-58 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting at being unpatentable over claims 1-25 of U.S. Patent No. 6,316,024. The Examiner noted that a timely filed Terminal Disclaimer in compliance with 36 C.F.R. §1.321(c) would overcome an actual or provisional rejection on this ground.

Enclosed herewith is an executed Terminal Disclaimer filed in accordance with C.F.R. §1.321(b) and (c) which disclaims the terminal portion of any patent issuing on the instant application that extends beyond the expiration of U.S. Patent No. 6,316,024.

The applicants submit that Terminal Disclaimer overcomes the rejection for obviousness-type double patenting and withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

II. Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, the claims pending in the application comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112 and patentably define over the applied art. A Notice of Allowance is, therefore, respectfully requested. If the Examiner has any questions or believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is encouraged to call the undersigned at (650) 838-4402.

Respectfully submitted,
Perkins Coie LLP

Date: 2/27/06

Judy M. Mohr
Judy M. Mohr
Registration No. 38,563

Correspondence Address:
Customer No. 22918